<u>Use of Force Option</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>
Firearm Displayed	15	28
Firearm Discharged	30	6 (destroying injured animals)
Taser (Displayed) Taser (Deployed)	13 13	15 5
OC Spray	1	1
Baton	0	0
Police Service Dog	0	0
Open Hand Techniques Where Medical Treatment Required	5	7

^{*} The number of Use of Force reports don't align in 2018 with the number options listed above because during some incidents there were multiple reports submitted.

In each of the 53 incidents requiring a Use of Force Report, with the exception of destroying injured animals, the officers were dealing with actively resistant offenders or in circumstances where a threat was presented that justified the Use of Force measures to protect their safety or the safety of members of the public. For example in 8 of the incidents where Use of Force Reports were submitted, 8 involved guns, 3 involved knives, 1 involved gun shots being heard (reported), 1 involved a golf club, 1 involved a baseball bat and 1 involved a vehicle.

As indicated in the table, the incidents involving the discharge of firearms all involve officers putting down raccoons and skunks that were exhibiting signs of distemper or rabies and were put down for humanitarian or public safety reasons. After reviewing all of the incidents where force was used with our Use of Force Instructor, I can advise that in each and every incident, the officers responded appropriately to the level of force presented by the suspect.

Considering the fact that our officers responded to 19,189 calls for service, and dealt with a wide variety of assaultive, violent, actively resistant and/or armed offenders in 2018, these statistics are well within acceptable margins.

On June 30th, 2017, the Woodstock Police Service introduced a system of analysis for each Use of Report submitted via Routine Order 13/2017. The order specified the designated Use of Force

^{**} Tracking years of Use of Force - 2013 (48), 2014 (39), 2015 (29), 2016 (53) & 2017 (60)

Trainer would meet with the officer(s) to review all Use of Force reports submitted in accordance with the requirements of Woodstock Police Service Directive AI-012. The purpose of the review was be to debrief the incident from an operational perspective, explore future best practices, lessons learned and explore the use of de-escalation techniques. The review did not apply to Use of Force reports submitted for the dispatching of sick or injured animals.

Respectfully submitted;

Deputy Chief Darren Sweazey Woodstock Police Service